IAP20 Res'd FCT/FTO 10 FEB 2006

PCT/EP2004/009096

WO 2005/015035

5

10

15

20

25

30

1

Sliding bearing with improved surface topography

The invention is related to the field of sliding bearing contacts. Such sliding contacts are present in various locations of all sorts of machinery, and in particular in the bearing components thereof. As an example, reference is made to the contact between the cage and the rolling elements of a bearing, or between the rolling elements and the flange of a bearing ring of a tapered roller bearing.

Generally, it is desirable to reduce the friction in such sliding bearing contacts. A reduced friction enhances bearing life due to the lower temperatures which are generated in service within the bearing contact. This has a favourable influence on the properties of the lubricant whereby the desired lubricant film thickness in the bearing contact can be maintained. Thus, wear of the surfaces in the sliding contact can be kept within acceptable limits.

US-A-6,046,430 discloses a sliding bearing comprising two opposite bearing surfaces which enclose a gap containing a lubricant film, said bearing surfaces being moveable with respect to each other in a generally parallel fashion, at least one of said surfaces being provided with at least one cavity, said cavity having a depth which is at least equal to the lubricant film thickness.

In particular, said publication discloses a method for designing load-bearing surfaces of bearings. Said surfaces are improved by the presence of micropores with a diameter of maximally 90 μm .

The object of the invention is to provide a sliding bearing having a reduced friction between the sliding surface. Said object is achieved in that at least one of the length and width dimension of the surface area of said cavity amounting to at least $100 \, \mu m$.

Prefarably, at least one of the length and width dimensions amounts to at least 1000 μ m. More preferably, at least one of the length and width dimensions amounts to at least 5000 μ m (fig. 5, 6).

Preferably, the cavity depth is at least equal to 10 times the lubricant film thickness. Even better results are obtained in case the cavity depth is at least equal to 20 times the lubricant film thickness. A cavity depth up to 30 times the lubricant film thickness about represents the embodiment which still has a noticeable useful contribution to friction reduction.

5

10

15

20

25

Furthermore, it appears to be favourable in case the sum of the surface areas of all cavities of one and the same bearing surface amounts to at least 15% of the contact area of the bearing surfaces. On the other hand, the sum of the surface areas of all cavities of one and the same bearing surface should amount to at most 50% of the contact area of the bearing surfaces.

Furthermore, it appears to be useful in case the total cavity area is distributed over more than one cavity. Preferably, at least one of the surfaces has at least 4 cavities. Furthermore, at most 8 cavities should be applied.

In case one and only one cavity is provided, said cavity is preferably positioned approximately at equal distances from the inlet and the outlet.

In case at least two cavities are provided, the distance between the formost cavity and the inlet is preferably larger than the distance between the rearmost cavity and the outlet. The center of a cavity or of a group of cavities may be located at a distance of 0.6 to 0.8 times the bearing length from the inlet.

Under running conditions, the lubricant film thickness may be in the range of 0,01 μm to 10 μm .

The invention will now be described further with reference to several examples of a sliding bearing.

Figure 1 shows a longitudinal section through a linear wedge type sliding bearing according to the state of the art.

Figure 2 shows a longitudinal cross-section through a sliding bearing according to the invention.

Figure 3 shows a graph containing the pressure as function of the length along the bottom wall of the sliding bearing according to figure 2.

Figure 4 shows a graph containing the dependence of the friction coefficients on the depth of the cavity of the sliding bearing according to figure 2.

Figure 5 shows a graph containing the pressure distribution for all cases, as well as for the two-dimensional Reynolds case, along the lower surface.

Figure 6 shows a detail on a larger scale of figure 5.

Figure 7 shows a graph comparing the friction coefficients for all cases for both the top wall of the cavity parallel to the stationary upper surface and parallel to the lower, moving surface.

5

10

15

20

25

30

Figure 8 shows a graph containing the friction coefficient ratio for different positions of the cavity.

Figure 9 shows a graph containing the pressure distribution for all cases including the two-dimensional Reynolds case with the pocket in different positions.

Figure 10 shows a longitudinal cross-section through a sliding bearing with multiple cavities.

Figure 11 shows a graph containing the pressure distribution for cases with four pockets, including the two-dimensional Reynolds case, wherein the cavity area is 25% of the total area.

Figure 12 shows a top view of the upper wall of a three-dimensional embodiment of the sliding bearing according to the invention.

Figure 13 shows a graph containing the pressure distribution along the lower surface of the sliding bearing according to figure 12, for y = 0 m.

Figure 14 shows a further embodiment with two cavities.

Figure 15 shows a graph containing the pressure distribution for the embodiment of figure 14 along the lower surface for y = 0 m.

In figure 1, a so-called infinitely long linear wedge of a prior art sliding bearing is shown. This sliding bearing has a lower member 1 and an upper member 2, which define respectively a lower bearing surface 3 and an upper bearing surface 4. Between the surfaces 3, 4, a film 5 of a suitable lubricant, e.g. an oil, is enclosed. It is assumed that the lower member 1 moves into the right direction with respect to the upper member 2 in figure 1. As a result, there is a movement of the film 5 through the gap 6 defined between the members 1, 2. In particular, the lubricant film 5 moves through the gap 6 from the inlet 7 thereof towards the outlet 8.

As will be clear from figure 1, at the entrance 7 the maximum thickness of the film 5 is h_1 , and at the outlet 8 h_0 . The length of the sliding bearing and thus of the gap 6 is indicated by B. The velocity of the lower member 1 with respect to the upper member 2 is indicated by U. The Cartesian coordinates x and z have been indicated as well.

It is observed that figure 1 is related to a two-dimensional case, which means that no account is taken of the other Cartesian coordinate y.

The friction coefficient is defined as

PCT/EP2004/009096 WO 2005/015035

$$\mu = \frac{F}{W}$$

and by expressing the friction coefficient through dimensionless values for load and friction, the following formula is obtained:

5

10

15

$$\mu = \frac{h_o}{B} \frac{F^*}{6W^*}$$

Figure 3 shows the pressures on the bottom wall for the two-dimensional linear wedge according to figure 1, both obtained from the prior art Reynolds' solution given before as well as from numerical results obtained by computational fluid dynamics computations according to the invention.

In the embodiment according to figure 2, a cavity defined by a depth h_3 , is present in the upper surface 4. Table 1 provides the results for the case wherein the top wall 9 of the cavity 10 is parallel to the moving wall. Moreover, it has been assumed that the upper surface 4 of the gap 7 is parallel to the moving bottom wall 3. As there is no analytical solution for this geometry, only numerical results for the total load 16 and for the total friction 17 are available. These results are given in table 1. From this table 1 and from figure 4 it is clear that the friction coefficient drops as the height of the cavity h_3 increases.

Table 1

				•		
Height of the	Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
cavity [m]	[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
<i>H</i> ₃	W/L	F/L	W*	F*	μ	μ/μ _{2DRey}
2D (Reynolds)	635544	154.518	0.0265	0.773	2.431e-4	1
2D(CFD)	630643	150.221	0.0263	0.751	2.382e-4	0.980
2D(CFD)	633435	152.435	0.0264	0.762	2.406e-4	0.990
2D(CFD)	634212	153.143	0.0264	0.766	2.415e-4	0.993
3,5.10	625077	144.771	0.0260	0.724	2.316e-4	0.953
5.10°	618906	139.261	0.0258	0.696	2.250e-4	0.926
5.5 . 10-6	618006	138.751	0.0258	0.694	2.245e-4	0.923
10.10	612759	125.923	0.0255	0.630	2.055e-4	0.845
12.5 . 10-6	611860	120.851	0.0255	0.604	1.975e-4	0.812
15.10-6	611353	118.494	0.0255	0.592	1.938e-4	0.797
20.10	610857	115.625	0.0255	0.578	1.893e-4	0.779
	610466	113.148	0.0254	0,566	1.853e-4	0.762
30.10-6		113.146	0.0254	0.563	1.844e-4	0.759
40 . 10	610340	112.30	0.0254			L

In a further case, it is assumed that the top wall 9 of the cavity 10 is parallel to the stationary wall 4. The results of this case are presented in table 2.

WO 2005/015035 PCT/EP2004/009096

6 Table 2

Height of	Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
the cavity	[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
[m]						
<i>H</i> ₃	W/L	F/L	W*	F^*	M	μ/μ _{2DRey}
0	634212	153.143	0.0264	0.766	2.42e-04	0.993
3.5 . 10-6	625196	144.504	0.026	0.723	2.310-04	0.951
5.100	618963	139.932	0.0258	0.7	2.26e-04	0.93
5.5 ,10°°	617726	137.749	0.0257	0.0689	2.23e-04	0.917
10.10-6	612755	124.579	0.0255	. 0.623	2.03e-04	0.836
12.5 . 10	611845	120.992	0.0255	0.605	1.98e-04	0.814
15, 10-6	611395	118.595	0.0255	0.593	1.94e-04	0.798
20.100	610866	115.684	0.0255	0.578	1.89e-04	0.779
30.10-6	610485	113.177	0.0254	0.566	1.85e-04	0.763
40.10-6	610340	112.58	0.0254	0.563	1.85e-04	0.759

Figure 5 shows the pressure distribution along the bottom wall for all cases which are listed in table 1. Figure 6 shows the region around the maximum pressures of figure 5 on an enlarged scale.

5

10

15

Figure 7 shows a comparison between the friction coefficient for the case with the top wall 9 of the cavity 10 parallel to the stationary upper surface 4, and with the top wall 9 of the cavity 10 parallel to the moving lower surface 3. It is noted that in the case of shallow cavities minor differences occur between these cases, but that for the case of deep cavities 10 the results are very similar.

Furthermore, the effect of the location of the cavity 10 as defined by the distance B_1 has been studied. Table 3 shows the results for calculated load, friction and friction coefficient. From this table 3 it is clear that the position B_1 at which the friction coefficient is minimal is around the middle of the length of the gap 6. This effect is also clearly shown in figure 8.

The pressure distribution for these cases and the two-dimensional Reynolds' case along the lower surface 3 is shown in figure 9.

5

10

Table 3

Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
W/L	F/L	W*	F*	μ	μ/μ _{2DRey}
401814	101.185	0.0167	0.506	2.518e-4	1.036
	102.464	0.0201	0.512	2.122e-4	0.873
		0.0233	0.527	1.881e-4	0.774
				1.819e-4	0.748
604587	109.992				0.759
610340	112.580	0.0254			
612709	113.656	0.0255	0.568		0.763
610825	115.214	0.0255	0.576	1.886e-4	0.776
566752	110.209	0.0236	0.551	1.945e-4	0.800
	W/L 401814 482803 560142 604587 610340 612709	[mPa] [mPa] W/L F/L 401814 101.185 482803 102.464 560142 105.37 604587 109.992 610340 112.580 612709 113.656 610825 115.214	[mPa] [mPa] load W/L F/L W* 401814 101.185 0.0167 482803 102.464 0.0201 560142 105.37 0.0233 604587 109.992 0.0252 610340 112.580 0.0254 612709 113.656 0.0255 610825 115.214 0.0255	Impa Impa load friction W/L F/L W* F* 401814 101.185 0.0167 0.506 482803 102.464 0.0201 0.512 560142 105.37 0.0233 0.527 604587 109.992 0.0252 0.550 610340 112.580 0.0254 0.563 612709 113.656 0.0255 0.568 610825 115.214 0.0255 0.576	InPa InPa Inpa Index Infection coeff. W/L F/L W* F* μ 401814 101.185 0.0167 0.506 2.518e-4 482803 102.464 0.0201 0.512 2.122e-4 560142 105.37 0.0233 0.527 1.881e-4 604587 109.992 0.0252 0.550 1.819e-4 610340 112.580 0.0254 0.563 1.845e-4 612709 113.656 0.0255 0.568 1.854e-4 610825 115.214 0.0255 0.576 1.886e-4

In the case of figure 2, a single cavity 10 is present in the stationary upper surface 4, covering about 25% of the total length B of the sliding bearing. In a further case shown in figure 10, four cavities 9 having a length B_p have been applied, also covering about 25% of the total length B of the sliding bearing. The cavities are evenly spaced at distances B_f. Three different cases have been studied, each having an other distance B₁ for the first cavity 10 from the inlet 7 of the gap 6. The results for these three cases are presented in table 4.

Table 4

Position of	Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
the cavity	[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
[m]						
B_I	W/L	F/L	W*	F*	μ	μ/μ ₂ DRey
1.875 . 10 ⁻³	487415	112.161	0.0203	0.561	2.301e-4	0.947
even spacing						0.000
2.5 . 10-3	472362	102.226	0.0197	0.511	2.1640-4	0.890
7.10^3	580376	109.345	0.0241823	0.546726	1.884e-4	0.775

The pressure distribution for these three cases along the lower surface 4 is presented in figure 11, together with the two-dimensional Reynolds case. It is clear that a smaller distance B₁ from the first cavity 10 to the inlet 7 does not lead to a reduction in the friction coefficient.

A further case was studied, provided with eight cavities 10 having a length B_p which total to about 50% of the length B of the sliding bearing. Table 5 shows that such geometry does not lead to further reduction of the friction coefficient.

10

15

20

5

Table 5

			Diviloga	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
Position of	Load	Friction	Dimless			ratio
the cavity	[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	rauo
[m]						μ/μ _{2DRey}
B_I	W/L	F/L	<i>W</i> *	F*	μ	
	262011	115.498	0.0147	0.577	3.264e-4	1.343
5.10	353811			0.515	3.087e-4	1.270
10.10	333489	102.951	0.0139	0.515		1 200
20.106	325079	87,594	0.0135	0.438	2.694e-4	1.108
20.10			0.0124	0.396	2.455e-4	1.010
40.10	322323	79.137	0.0134	0.550		

The previous studies have all been related to two-dimensional cases. Subsequently, the behaviour of a three-dimensional linear wedge sliding bearing with a single cavity is studied. The lay-out in top view thereof is shown in figure 12. Reference L indicates the bearing width. L₁ defines the distance of the cavity 10 from the lateral boundary of the sliding bearing gap 6, L_p defines the width of the cavity 10. The following magnitudes have been selected:

B = L = 2 ·
$$10^{-2}$$
 m, B_p = L_p = 1 · 10^{-2} m, L₁ = 0 · 5 · 10^{-2} m.

Table 6 shows the results of the varying distance B_1 . The pressure distribution along the lower surface for y = 0 m is shown in figure 13.

Table 6

7 - 3					- CC
Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
W/L	F/L	W*	F*	μ	μ/μ_{2DRcy}
275882	150.011	0.0115	0.750	5.438e-4	1
219692	118.527	0.0092	0.593	5.395e-4	0.992
	116,481	0.0101	0.582	4.805e-4	0.884
		0.0101	0.581	4.792e-4	0.881
		0.0101	0.5784	4.792e-4	0.881
		0.0098	0.573	4.875e-4	0.896
	W/L	W/L F/L 275882 150.011 219692 118.527 242436 116.481 242467 116.192 241370 115.671	W/L F/L W* 275882 150.011 0.0115 219692 118.527 0.0092 242436 116.481 0.0101 242467 116.192 0.0101 241370 115.671 0.0101	W/L F/L W* F* 275882 150.011 0.0115 0.750 219692 118.527 0.0092 0.593 242436 116.481 0.0101 0.582 242467 116.192 0.0101 0.581 241370 115.671 0.0101 0.573	W/L F/L W* F* μ 275882 150.011 0.0115 0.750 5.438e-4 219692 118.527 0.0092 0.593 5.395e-4 242436 116.481 0.0101 0.582 4.805e-4 24242467 116.192 0.0101 0.581 4.792e-4 241370 115.671 0.0101 0.5784 4.792e-4

Finally, the embodiment of figure 14 has been studied in which two cavities of similar form and dimensions are applied. These dimensions are:

$$B = L = 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}, L_p = 2 \cdot B_p = 1 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}, L_1 = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}.$$

Table 7 shows the results of varying distances B_1 and B_2 , figure 15 the pressure distribution along the lower surface for y = 0 m.

Table 7

Position	Gap	Load	Friction	Dimless	Dimless	Friction	Coeff.
		[mPa]	[mPa]	load	friction	coeff.	ratio
of the	between	լու եյ	[iiii w]				
first	the pockets			•			
cavity	[m]		1		·		
[m]							
B_1	B ₂	W/L	F/L	W*	F*	μ	μ/μ3D
0	0	275882	150.011	0.0115	0.750	5.438e-4	1
5.10-3	2.5 . 10-3	230303	117.07	0.0096	0.585	5.083e-4	0.935
6.10-3	1.10-3	236121	117.376	0.0098	0.587	4.971e-4	0.914
6.5 . 10-3	1.10-3	237723	116.784	0.0099	0.584	4.912e-4	0.903
6.5 . 10 ⁻³	1.5 . 10-3	234126	116.147	0.0098	0.581	4.961e-4	0.912
7.10-3	1.10-3	236597	116.021	0.0099	0.580	4.904e-4	0.902
	0.5 . 10-3	233085	<u> </u>	0.0097	0.574	4.926e-4	0.906
8.10-3	0.5 . 10	233063	11-1.02		J		

Although in the description given before as well as in the figures reference is made to a wedge with non-parallel bearing surfaces, the invention is also related to parallel bearing surfaces.

5

NOTATION

	h1	maximum film thickness (see Fig. 1)
	ho ·	minimum film thickness
10	h_3	height of the cavity (see Fig. 2)
	В	bearing length
	L	bearing width
	U	velocity in the x direction of the bottom wall
	x,y,z	Cartesian coordinates
15	t	time (s)
	p	pressure
	ρ	density
	η	dynamic viscosity
	ν	kinematic viscosity $\nu = \eta/\rho$
20	W	total load
	F	total friction
	\mathbf{w}^*	dimensionless load
	F*	dimensionless friction

25 MAIN VALUES

B =
$$2 * 10^{-2} \text{ m}$$

U = 1m/s
 $h_0 = 1 * 10^{-6} \text{ m}$
30 $h_1 = 2 * 10^{-6} \text{ m}$
 $\rho = 103 \text{ kg/m3}$
 $\eta = 10^{-2} \text{ Pas}$
 $\nu = 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.